

Q1, (Jun 2006, Q4i)

Difference s	Rank of diff
- 2	2
- 1	1
- 6	5
- 3	3
4	4
- 12	9
7	6
- 8	7
- 10	8

$T = 4 + 6 = 10$ (or $1+2+3+5+7+8+9 = 35$)
 Refer to tables of Wilcoxon paired (/single sample) statistic.
 Lower (or upper if 35 used) 5% tail is needed.
 Value for $n = 9$ is 8 (or 37 if 35 used).
 Result is not significant.
 No evidence to suggest a real change.

M1 For differences.
 ZERO in this section if differences not used.

 M1
 A1 For ranks.
 FT from here if ranks wrong

 B1

 M1 No ft from here if wrong.
 M1 i.e. a 1-tail test. No ft from here if wrong.
 A1 No ft from here if wrong.
 A1 ft only c's test statistic.
 A1 ft only c's test statistic.

9

Q2, (Jan 2007, Q4b)

(b)	<p>Old – New: 0-007 0-002 -0-001 -0-003 0-004 -0-008 -0-010 0-009 -0-005 -0-016</p> <p>Rank of diff 6 2 1 3 4 7 9 8 5 10</p> <p>$W_+ = 6 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 20$</p> <p>Refer to Wilcoxon single sample (/paired) tables for $n = 10$. Lower two-tail 10% point is 10. $20 > 10 \therefore$ Result is not significant.</p> <p>Seems there is no reason to suppose the barometers differ.</p>	<p>M1 For differences. ZERO in this section if differences not used.</p> <p>M1 For ranks of difference .</p> <p>A1 All correct. ft from here if ranks wrong.</p> <p>B1 Or $W_- = 1 + 3 + 7 + 9 + 5 + 10 = 35$</p> <p>M1 No ft from here if wrong.</p> <p>M1 Or, if 35 used, upper point is 45.</p> <p>A1 No ft from here if wrong.</p> <p>E1 Or $35 < 45$. ft only c's test statistic.</p> <p>E1 ft only c's test statistic.</p>	9
-----	--	---	---

(ii)																																				
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Data</th><th>Diff = data - 124</th><th>Rank of diff </th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>239</td><td>115</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>77</td><td>-47</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>179</td><td>55</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>221</td><td>97</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>-24</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>312</td><td>188</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>52</td><td>-72</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>129</td><td>5</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>236</td><td>112</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>42</td><td>-82</td><td>6</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p> $W_- = 3 + 2 + 5 + 6 = 16$ </p> <p>Refer to Wilcoxon single sample (/paired) tables for $n = 10$. Lower two-tail 10% point is 10. $16 > 10 \therefore$ Result is not significant.</p> <p>Seems there is no evidence against the median length being 124.</p>	Data	Diff = data - 124	Rank of diff	239	115	9	77	-47	3	179	55	4	221	97	7	100	-24	2	312	188	10	52	-72	5	129	5	1	236	112	8	42	-82	6	<p>M1 M1 A1</p> <p>For differences. For ranks of difference . All correct. ft from here if ranks wrong.</p> <p>B1</p> <p>Or $W_+ = 9 + 4 + 7 + 10 + 1 + 8 = 39$</p> <p>M1</p> <p>No ft from here if wrong.</p> <p>M1A1</p> <p>Or, if 39 used, upper point is 45. No ft from here if wrong.</p> <p>E1</p> <p>Or $39 < 45$. ft only c's test statistic.</p> <p>E1</p> <p>ft only c's test statistic.</p>	9
Data	Diff = data - 124	Rank of diff																																		
239	115	9																																		
77	-47	3																																		
179	55	4																																		
221	97	7																																		
100	-24	2																																		
312	188	10																																		
52	-72	5																																		
129	5	1																																		
236	112	8																																		
42	-82	6																																		

$H_0: m = 5.4$ $H_1: m \neq 5.4$ where m is the population median time for the task.					
Times	- 5.4	Rank of diff			
6.4	1.0	8			
5.9	0.5	5			
5.0	-0.4	4			
6.2	0.8	7			
6.8	1.4	10			
6.0	0.6	6			
5.2	-0.2	2			
6.5	1.1	9			
5.7	0.3	3			
5.3	-0.1	1			
$W_- = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7$ (or $W_+ = 3 + 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 + 10 = 48$) Refer to tables of Wilcoxon single sample (/paired) statistic for $n = 10$. Lower (or upper if 48 used) double-tailed 5% point is 8 (or 47 if 48 used). Result is significant. Seems that the median time is no longer as previously thought.			B1	Both hypotheses. Hypotheses in words only must include "population".	
			B1	For adequate verbal definition.	
			M1	for subtracting 5.4.	
			M1	for ranks.	
			A1	FT if ranks wrong.	
			B1		
			M1	No ft from here if wrong.	
			A1	i.e. a 2-tail test. No ft from here if wrong.	
			A1	ft only c's test statistic.	
			A1	ft only c's test statistic.	

Q5, (Jun 2008, Q3b)

Diff	-5	4	-14	-3	6	1	-11	-8	-7	-9
Rank of diff	4	3	10	2	5	1	9	7	6	8

$W_+ = 1 + 3 + 5 = 9$ (or $W_- = 2 + 4 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 + 10 = 46$) Refer to tables of Wilcoxon paired (/single sample) statistic for $n = 10$. Lower (or upper if 46 used) double-tailed 5% point is 8 (or 47 if 46 used). Result is not significant. No evidence to suggest the tasters differ on the whole.	M1 M1 A1 B1 M1 A1 A1 A1	For differences. ZERO in this section if differences not used. For ranks. FT from here if ranks wrong No ft from here if wrong. i.e. a 2-tail test. No ft from here if wrong. ft only c's test statistic. ft only c's test statistic.	8
---	--	---	---

Q6, (Jan 2009, Q1b)

Times	- 32	Rank of diff
40	8	4
20	-12	7
18	-14	8
11	-21	12
47	15	9
36	4	2
38	6	3
35	3	1
22	-10	5
14	-18	10
12	-20	11
21	-11	6

$W_+ = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 9 = 19$ Refer to Wilcoxon single sample tables for $n = 12$. Lower (or upper if 59 used) 5% tail is 17 (or 61 if 59 used). Result is not significant. Seems that there is no evidence that Godfrey's times have decreased.	M1 M1 A1 B1 M1 A1 A1 A1	$H_0: m = 32, H_1: m < 32$, where m is the population median time. for subtracting 32. for ranks. ft if ranks wrong. (or $W_- = 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 10 + 11 + 12 = 59$) No ft from here if wrong. i.e. a 1-tail test. No ft from here if wrong. ft only c's test statistic. ft only c's test statistic.	8
--	--	--	---

(i)	For a systematic sample <ul style="list-style-type: none">• she needs a list of all staff• with no cycles in the list. All staff equally likely to be chosen if she <ul style="list-style-type: none">• chooses a random start between 1 and 10• then chooses every 10th. Not simple random sampling since not all samples are possible.	E1 E1 E1 E1 E1	5																											
(ii)	Nothing is known about the background population of differences between the scores. $H_0: m = 0$ $H_1: m \neq 0$ where m is the population median difference for the scores.	E1 E1 B1 B1	Any reference to unknown distribution or "non-parametric" situation. Any reference to pairing/differences. Both hypotheses. Hypotheses in words only must include "population". For adequate verbal definition.	4																										
(iii)	<table border="1"><tr><td>Diff</td><td>-0.8</td><td>-2.6</td><td>8.6</td><td>6.2</td><td>6.0</td><td>-3.6</td><td>-2.4</td><td>-0.4</td><td>-4.0</td><td>5.6</td><td>6.6</td><td>2.2</td></tr><tr><td>Rank</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>12</td><td>10</td><td>9</td><td>6</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>11</td><td>3</td></tr></table> $W_- = 1 + 2 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 = 25$ Refer to tables of Wilcoxon paired (/single sample) statistic for $n = 12$. Lower (or upper if 53 used) 2½% tail is 13 (or 65 if 53 used). Result is not significant. No evidence to suggest a preference for one of the uniforms.	Diff	-0.8	-2.6	8.6	6.2	6.0	-3.6	-2.4	-0.4	-4.0	5.6	6.6	2.2	Rank	2	5	12	10	9	6	4	1	7	8	11	3	M1 M1 A1 B1 M1 A1 A1 A1	For differences. ZERO in this section if differences not used. For ranks. ft from here if ranks wrong. (or $W_+ = 3 + 8 + 9 + 10 + 11 + 12 = 53$) No ft from here if wrong. i.e. a 2-tail test. No ft from here if wrong. ft only c's test statistic. ft only c's test statistic.	8
Diff	-0.8	-2.6	8.6	6.2	6.0	-3.6	-2.4	-0.4	-4.0	5.6	6.6	2.2																		
Rank	2	5	12	10	9	6	4	1	7	8	11	3																		

Q8, (Jun 2010, Q3a)

(a) (i)	Use paired data in order to eliminate differences between authorities.	B1	[1]																				
(ii)	$H_0: m = 0$ $H_1: m > 0$ where m is the population median difference.	B1 Both. Accept hypotheses in words. B1 Adequate definition of m to include “population”.																					
<table><tr><td>Diff (After – Before)</td><td>6</td><td>–1</td><td>5</td><td>–4</td><td>–3</td><td>11</td><td>8</td><td>2</td><td>9</td></tr><tr><td>Rank of diff </td><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>3</td><td>9</td><td>7</td><td>2</td><td>8</td></tr></table>				Diff (After – Before)	6	–1	5	–4	–3	11	8	2	9	Rank of diff	6	1	5	4	3	9	7	2	8
Diff (After – Before)	6	–1	5	–4	–3	11	8	2	9														
Rank of diff	6	1	5	4	3	9	7	2	8														
$W_- = 1 + 3 + 4 = 8$ (or $= 2 + 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 = 37$)																							
Refer to tables of Wilcoxon paired (/single sample) statistic for $n = 9$. Lower 5% point is 8 (or upper is 37 if W_+ used). Result is significant. Evidence suggests the percentage has been raised (on the whole).																							
		M1 For differences. ZERO in this section if differences not used. M1 For ranks. A1 FT from here if ranks wrong B1																					
		M1 No ft from here if wrong. A1 i.e. a 1-tail test. No ft from here if wrong. A1 ft only c’s test statistic. A1 ft only c’s test statistic.	[10]																				

Q9, (OCR 4735, Jun 2011, Q2)

(i)	$H_0: m_d = 0$, $H_1: m_d > 0$, (where $d = \text{high} - \text{low}$) $D: -4 \ 3 \ 6 \ 1 \ 12 \ 7 \ 14 \ 16 \ 11 \ -9 \ 10$ $\text{Rank } -3 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1 \ 9 \ 5 \ 10 \ 11 \ 8 \ -6 \ 7$ $P = 57$, $Q = 9$ $T = 9$ $CV = 13$ $9 < CV$ so reject H_0 There is sufficient evidence at the 5% significance level to support the botanist's belief	B1 M1 A1 B1 B1 M1 A1 ft 7	Or $H_0: m_H = m_L$, etc. Medians Ranking top down, -9, -10, 8, ... M1A0 $T = 15$ B0 [SR last 3 marks: $z = -2.09$ B1 < -1.96 etc M1A1] Or equivalent ft T
(ii)	The rank sum test is for independent samples, the H and L values are correlated	B1 1 [8]	Accept data paired

Q10, (OCR 4735, Jun 2014, Q1)

(i)	<div><div>$H_0: m_1 = m_2$$H_1: m_2 > m_1$</div><table><tr><td>–</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>–</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>–</td></tr><tr><td>9</td><td>5</td><td>12</td><td>3</td><td>8</td><td>13</td><td>7</td><td>10</td><td>15</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>8</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>9</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td>10</td><td>1</td></tr></table><div>$T^+ = 39; T^- = 16; T = 16$ $CV = 10$ $TS > CV$, do not reject H_0 Insufficient evidence that the calculator paper was easier. oe</div></div>	–	+	+	+	+	–	+	+	+	–	9	5	12	3	8	13	7	10	15	2	6	3	8	2	5	9	4	7	10	1	<div>B1</div> <div>M1,A1</div> <div>A1</div> <div>B1</div> <div>M1</div> <div>A1</div> <div>[7]</div>	<div>Allow equiv hyps using differences. If in words, needs ‘population’ 1st A1 is for correct differences.</div> <div>2nd A1 is for correct T from correct ranks.</div> <div>ft TS, CV</div> <div>ft TS</div> <div>Contextualised, not over-assertive.</div>	<div>NOT: marks NOT papers.....</div> <div>NOT: mean</div> <div>NOT: difference, unless clearly 2-tail</div>
–	+	+	+	+	–	+	+	+	–																									
9	5	12	3	8	13	7	10	15	2																									
6	3	8	2	5	9	4	7	10	1																									
(ii)	<div>Differences symmetrical</div>	<div>B1</div> <div>[1]</div>		.																														

Q11, (Jan 2012, Q3b)

(b)	(i)	A paired test is used in this context in order to eliminate differences between health authorities.	E1	oe																		
			[1]																			
(b)	(ii)	<table><tr><td>Diff</td><td>11</td><td>26</td><td>−15</td><td>4</td><td>−9</td><td>−1</td><td>23</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>Rank</td><td>5</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td>3</td></tr></table> <p>$W_- = 1 + 4 + 6 = 11$ Refer to tables of Wilcoxon paired (/single sample) statistic for $n = 8$. Lower 5% tail is 5 (or upper is 31 if 25 used). $11 > 5 \therefore$ Result is not significant. No evidence to suggest a difference between the incidences of myocardial infarction in men and women on the whole.</p>	Diff	11	26	−15	4	−9	−1	23	5	Rank	5	8	6	2	4	1	7	3	M1 M1 A1 B1 M1 A1 A1 A1 [8]	For differences. ZERO in this section if differences not used. For ranks. ft from here if ranks wrong. (or $W_+ = 2 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 8 = 25$) No ft from here if wrong. ie a 2-tail test. No ft from here if wrong. ft only c's test statistic. ft only c's test statistic. “Non-assertive” conclusion in context to include “on the whole” oe.
Diff	11	26	−15	4	−9	−1	23	5														
Rank	5	8	6	2	4	1	7	3														

[illegible]

<p> $H_0: m \leq (\text{or} =) 30$, $H_1: m > 30$ Diffs 3, 9, -1, 5, 10, 2, -4, 7 Ranks 3, 7, 1, 5, 8, 2, 4, 6 Signed ranks 5(-), 31(+) TS=5 5 in CR, reject H_0 There is sufficient evidence that the median time for relief is more than 30 mins. Distribution is symmetrical. </p>	B1	If in words, 'population' needed.	
	M1A1	M1 for attempting differences AND ranks.	
	A1		
	A1ft		
	M1ft	Ft TS, not CV CV=5	
	A1	Cwo, in context, not over-assertive.	
	B1		
	[8]		

Q14, (Jun 2016, Q2b)

<p> $H_0: m = 2.5$ $H_1: m > 2.5$ where m is the population median length (of South American fruit flies) </p> <table border="1" data-bbox="114 331 512 850"> <thead> <tr> <th>Observation</th><th>-2.5</th><th>rank</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1.7</td><td>-0.8</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>1.4</td><td>-1.1</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>3.1</td><td>0.6</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>3.5</td><td>1.0</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>3.8</td><td>1.3</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>4.2</td><td>1.7</td><td>11</td></tr> <tr><td>2.2</td><td>-0.3</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>2.9</td><td>0.4</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>4.4</td><td>1.9</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr><td>2.6</td><td>0.1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>3.9</td><td>1.4</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>3.2</td><td>0.7</td><td>5</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p> $W_- = 16, W_+ = 62$ $(n = 12)$, Critical value = 17 $(16 < 17 \rightarrow)$ reject H_0 </p>	Observation	-2.5	rank	1.7	-0.8	6	1.4	-1.1	8	3.1	0.6	4	3.5	1.0	7	3.8	1.3	9	4.2	1.7	11	2.2	-0.3	2	2.9	0.4	3	4.4	1.9	12	2.6	0.1	1	3.9	1.4	10	3.2	0.7	5	<p> $\bar{x} = 3.1$ B1 B1 M1 M1 A1 B1 B1 </p>	<p> both hypotheses definition including median, population, and context (If given in words: B1 for mentioning median 2.5, B1 for context) subtract 2.5 ranking all ranks correct for either, cao allow 61 if compared to 62. No FT if wrong </p>
Observation	-2.5	rank																																							
1.7	-0.8	6																																							
1.4	-1.1	8																																							
3.1	0.6	4																																							
3.5	1.0	7																																							
3.8	1.3	9																																							
4.2	1.7	11																																							
2.2	-0.3	2																																							
2.9	0.4	3																																							
4.4	1.9	12																																							
2.6	0.1	1																																							
3.9	1.4	10																																							
3.2	0.7	5																																							
<p>Suggests population median length of South American fruit flies exceeds 2.5cm</p>	<p> M1 A1 [9] </p>	<p> FT their W including median (or 'on average') and context </p>																																							

(i)	<p>Assumes salaries symmetrically distributed</p> <p>$H_0: m(\text{edian}) = 19.5, H_1: m(\text{edian}) \neq 19.5$</p> <p>$P = 867$ (or 408)</p> <p>Using normal approximation</p> <p>$\mu = \frac{1}{4} \times 50 \times 51 (= 637.5)$</p> <p>$\sigma^2 = 50 \times 51 \times 101/24 (= 10731.25)$</p> <p>$z = (a - 637.5)/\sqrt{10731.25}$</p> <p>Use $a = 866.5$</p> <p>$= 2.211$, or 2.215 or 2.220 (– from 408)</p> <p>Compare their z with 1.96 and reject H_0</p> <p>There is sufficient evidence at the 5% SL that the median salary differs from £19 500</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 ft</p> <p>10</p>	<p>In context</p> <p>For both ; not μ ; accept words</p> <p>$a=866.5, 867, 867.5$ (or 408.5, 408, 407.5)</p> <p>Or p-value rounding to 0.026 or 0.027</p> <p>Compare with 0.05 or equivalent ft z Or find critical region</p>
(ii)	<p>Use sign test when salary distribution is skewed</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>1</p> <p>(11)</p>	